



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/686,124	10/10/2000	Peter Si-Sheng Wang	15886-477	4296	
20306 7590 11/26/2003 MCDONNELL BOEHNEN HULBERT & BERGHOFF			EXAMINER TRAN, LAMBERT L		
CHICAGO, IL 60606			2142	7	
		DATE MAILED: 11/26/2003			

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			_		Peq			
		Application N	D. A	pplicant(s)				
		09/686,124	w	ANG ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	A	rt Unit				
		Lambert L. Tra		142				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE I - Exter after - If the - If NO - Failu - Any r	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOMAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNION Insions of time may be available under the provisions of SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this comminate period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30 period for reply is specified above, the maximum stare to reply within the set or extended period for reply reply received by the Office later than three months after a patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	CATION. of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, ho unication. of days, a reply within the statutory n tutory period will apply and will expiration, will, by statute, cause the application	wever, may a reply be timely on ninimum of thirty (30) days will be SIX (6) MONTHS from the into become ABANDONED (3	filed be considered timely mailing date of this or 5 U.S.C. § 133).				
1)🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	d on <u>10 October 2000</u> .						
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL .	b)⊠ This action is non-fir	nal.					
3)	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4)⊠	4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-75</u> is/are pending in the application.							
•,	4a) Of the above claim(s) <u>2-75</u> is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠	☑ Claim(s) 1 is/are rejected.							
7)□	Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8)□	Claim(s) are subject to restric	tion and/or election requi	rement.					
Applicati	ion Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.								
10)⊠	0)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>10 October 2000</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
440	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120								
12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: 1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application) since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78. a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received. 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121 since a specific reference was included in the first sentence of the specification or in an Application Data Sheet. 37 CFR 1.78.								
Attachmen		-	7					
2) Notic	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (P mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	TO-948) 5) [Interview Summary (PT Notice of Informal Pater Other:					

Application/Control Number: 09/686,124 Page 2

Art Unit: 2142

DETAILED ACTION

This Action is in response to the application filed on 10 October 2000. 1.

2. Claim 1 is pending. Claims 2-75 are canceled per Applicant request (paper #2).

Priority

- 3. Acknowledgment is made of Applicant's claim for priority based upon Continuation of Application No. 09/181,431 filed on 30 October 1998.
- 4. The effective filing date for the subject matter defined in the pending claims in this application is 30 October 1998.

Information Disclosure Statement

The information disclosure statements (IDS) submitted on 11 February 2002 (paper #5), 5. has been considered by the Examiner (see attached PTO 1449).

Double Patenting

- A rejection based on double patenting of the "same invention" type finds its support in the language of 35 U.S.C. 101 which states that "whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process ... may obtain a patent therefor ..." (Emphasis added). Thus, the term "same invention," in this context, means an invention drawn to identical subject matter. See Miller v. Eagle Mfg. Co., 151 U.S. 186 (1894); In re Ockert, 245 F.2d 467, 114 USPQ 330 (CCPA 1957); and In re Vogel, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970).
- 7. A statutory type (35 U.S.C. 101) double patenting rejection can be overcome by canceling or amending the conflicting claims so they are no longer coextensive in scope. The filing of a terminal disclaimer cannot overcome a double patenting rejection based upon 35 U.S.C. 101.

Art Unit: 2142

8. Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 as claiming the same invention as that of claim 1 of prior U.S. Patent No. 6,161,134, issued to Wang et al., on 12 December 2000. This is a double patenting rejection.

Conclusion

- 9. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lambert L. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 305-4663. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F at 9AM 5PM.
- 10. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, David A Wiley can be reached on (703) 308-5221. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 746-7239.
- 11. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-9700.

L.L.T Assistant Examiner GAU 2142 November 25, 2003

ROBERT B. HARRELL PRIMARY EXAMINER